From: Rebecca Burkman

To: <u>Jamie Belcourt (adpce.ad)</u>; <u>Mikel Murders</u>

Cc: Greg Ramon; Jean Block; Walter Collins; Eric Wassell; Jared Evanov; Cornelius Jones; Stacie Wassell (adpce.ad);

David Ramsey (adpce.ad); Richard Healey (adpce.ad)

Subject: Re: Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority"s 2022 Pretreatment Program Report

**Date:** Wednesday, April 12, 2023 2:11:37 PM

Attachments: image002.png

268407 (005P-012).pdf

#### Ms. Belcourt.

I have received your comments regarding Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority's (LRWRA) January 1, 2022 – December 30, 2022 Pretreatment Program Annual Report (NPDES Permits AR0021806, AR0040177 & AR0050849).

LRWRA pretreatment staff will perform an investigation of our industrial users to determine if an industry is the source of the arsenic exceedance. Per our conversation on the phone, we will submit our findings in thirty (30) days.

Your second comment about cyanide and phenol detection levels is due to a clerical error on our part. LRWRA formerly performed these tests in-house, our detection levels were 3.5 ug/L cyanide and 3.9 ug/L phenols. Due to staffing issues, we now contract these tests to American Interplex (now Eurofins). The detection limits used by American Interplex are <0.01 mg/L cyanide and <0.005 mg/L phenols. The PAR reports in ug/L rather than mg/L, thus the detection limits are <10 ug/L cyanide and <5 ug/L phenols. The results reported are accurate; however, the *Detection Level Achieved (ug/L)* should have been updated to remove our in-house detection limits and replace them with American Interplex's detection limits. I am including an example of a lab report from American Interplex which contains their detection limits.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to me or Mikel Murders, Pretreatment/Sampling Administrator.

Thank you,

## Rebecca Burkman

Director of Environmental Affairs 11 Clearwater Dr. Little Rock, AR 72204 Office: (501) 688-1486 www.lrwra.com



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this

email in error, please notify the sender. This message may contain confidential information, and is intended only for the individual named.

**From:** Jamie Belcourt (adpce.ad) <jamie.belcourt@adeq.state.ar.us>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 6, 2023 9:32 AM

**To:** Mikel Murders < Mikel. Murders@Irwra.com>

**Cc:** Greg Ramon <Greg.Ramon@Irwra.com>; Jean Block <Jean.Block@Irwra.com>; Walter Collins

<Walter.Collins@Irwra.com>; Rebecca Burkman <Rebecca.Burkman@Irwra.com>; Eric Wassell

<Eric.Wassell@Irwra.com>; Jared Evanov <Jared.Evanov@Irwra.com>; Cornelius Jones

<Cornelius.Jones@Irwra.com>; Stacie Wassell (adpce.ad) <Stacie.Wassell@adeq.state.ar.us>; David

Ramsey (adpce.ad) <David.E.Ramsey@adeq.state.ar.us>; Richard Healey (adpce.ad)

<Richard.Healey@adeq.state.ar.us>

Subject: RE: Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority's 2022 Pretreatment Program Report

Mr. Murders,

Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority's (LRWRA) January 1, 2022 – December 30, 2022 Pretreatment Program Annual Report (NPDES Permits AR0021806, AR0040177 & AR0050849) was received, reviewed, and deemed complete according to the reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 403.12(i).

However, during review of the submitted report it was noted in the monitoring results section that the maximum allowable headworks concentration (MAHC) for arsenic was exceeded for two (2) quarters at the Adams Field WRF (AR0021806) and all four (4) quarters at the Fourche Creek WRF (AR0040177) during the reporting year. DEQ requests that LRWRA address the arsenic exceedances of the MAHC by investigating the cause of the high loading and identifying any noncomplying industries. Please provide a response detailing the LRWRA's investigation into the cause and documentation of any industrial user not in compliance.

Further, it was noted during review of this report that the detection level achieved for cyanide was  $3.5 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  for all three (3) facilities. However, the results indicate <10.0  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . Similar results were also noted for the detection level achieved for phenols, reporting that the detection level achieved was  $3.9 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  but results showing <5.0  $\mu\text{g/L}$ . Please also provide a response that addresses this issue.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to me.

Thank you,

Jamie Belcourt | State Pretreatment Coordinator

Division of Environmental Quality | Office of Water Quality

Policy and Administration

5301 Northshore Drive | North Little Rock, AR 72118

t: 501.682.0858 | c: 501.287.8714 | e: <u>jamie.belcourt@adeq.state.ar.us</u>



**From:** Mikel Murders [mailto:Mikel.Murders@Irwra.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 28, 2023 10:58 AM

To: Pretreatment-Submittals

Cc: Greg Ramon; Jean Block; Walter Collins; Rebecca Burkman; Eric Wassell; Jared Evanov; Mikel

Murders; Cornelius Jones

Subject: Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority's 2022 Pretreatment Program Report

Please see the attached copy of Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority's 2022 Annual Pretreatment Program Report for your review. If you have any questions or need any additional information please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

## **Mikel Murders**

Pretreatment/Sampling Administrator 1001 Temple St. Little Rock, AR 72202 Office: (501) 688-1532 www.lrwra.com



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender. This message may contain confidential information, and is intended only for the individual named.



Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority ATTN: Mr. Jared Evanov 9500 Birdwood Dr Little Rock, AR 72206

This report contains the analytical results and supporting information for the sample received on August 26, 2022. Attached please find a copy of the Chain of Custody and/or other documents received. Note that any remaining sample will be discarded two weeks from the original report date unless other arrangements are made.

This report is intended for the sole use of the client listed above. Assessment of the data requires access to the entire document.

This report has been reviewed by the Chief Operating Officer or a qualified designee.

Steve Bradford
Deputy Laboratory Director

This document has been distributed to the following:

PDF cc: Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority

ATTN: Mr. Jared Evanov jared.evanov@lrwu.com



Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority 9500 Birdwood Dr Little Rock, AR 72206

## **SAMPLE INFORMATION**

## **Project Description:**

One (1) water sample(s) received on August 26, 2022 005P-012

### **Receipt Details:**

A Chain of Custody was provided. The samples were delivered in one (1) ice chest.

Each sample container was checked for proper labeling, including date and time sampled. Sample containers were reviewed for proper type, adequate volume, integrity, temperature, preservation, and holding times. Any exceptions are noted below:

## Sample Identification:

Laboratory ID	Client Sample ID	Sampled Date/Time	Notes
268407-1	005P-012 B32-15, 16	26-Aug-2022	1

#### Notes:

1. Sample label was incomplete in regard to date/time of sampling

## **Case Narrative:**

There were no qualifiers for this data and all samples met quality control criteria.

## References:

"Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", EPA/600/4-79-020 (Mar 1983) with updates and supplements EPA/600/5-91-010 (Jun 1991), EPA/600/R-92-129 (Aug 1992) and EPA/600/R-93-100 (Aug 1993).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods (SW846)", Third Edition.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewaters", (SM).

<sup>&</sup>quot;American Society for Testing and Materials" (ASTM).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Association of Analytical Chemists" (AOAC).



Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority 9500 Birdwood Dr Little Rock, AR 72206

# **ANALYTICAL RESULTS**

**AIC No.** 268407-1

Sample Identification: 005P-012 B32-15, 16 26-Aug-2022

Analyte		Result	RL	Units	Qualifier
Total Recoverable Phene	olics	< 0.005 Analyzed: 01-S	0.005 ep-2022 0832 by 330	mg/l Batch: W80697	
Total Cyanide SM 4500-CN C.E 2016	Prep: 29-Aug-2022 0923 by 376	< 0.01 Analyzed: 29-A	0.01 ug-2022 1140 by 376	<b>mg/l</b> Batch: W80660	



Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority 9500 Birdwood Dr Little Rock, AR 72206

## **LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RESULTS**

	Spike									
Analyte	Amount	%	Limits	RPD	Limit	Batch	Preparation Date	Analysis Date	Dil	Qual
Total Recoverable Phenolics	0.1 mg/l	96.8	74.8-121			W80697		01Sep22 0832 by 330		
Total Cyanide	0.1 mg/l	89.6	81.9-118			W80660	29Aug22 0924 by 376	29Aug22 1128 by 376		

## MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE RESULTS

		Spike							
Analyte	Sample	Amount	%	Limits	Batch	Preparation Date	Analysis Date	Dil	Qual
Total Recoverable Phenolics	268389-1	0.1 mg/l	95.7	62.0-123	W80697		01Sep22 0832 by 330		
	268389-1	0.1 mg/l	94.1	62.0-123	W80697		01Sep22 0832 by 330		
	Relative Pe	rcent Difference:	1.65	10.0	W80697				
Total Cyanide	268399-1	0.1 mg/l	91.4	65.2-124	W80660	29Aug22 0924 by 376	29Aug22 1131 by 376		
·	268399-1 Relative Pe	0.1 mg/l rcent Difference:	85.0 7.00	65.2-124 13.2	W80660 W80660	29Aug22 0924 by 376	29Aug22 1133 by 376		

# **LABORATORY BLANK RESULTS**

				QC			
Analyte	Result	RL	LOQ	Sample	<b>Preparation Date</b>	Analysis Date	Qual
Total Recoverable Phenolics	< 0.0050 mg/l	0.0050	0.005	W80697-1		01Sep22 0832 by 330	
Total Cyanide	< 0.0076 mg/l	0.0076	0.01	W80660-1	29Aug22 0924 by 376	29Aug22 1126 by 376	





Misc Sampling 08-1 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DEPARTMENT CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

1.D. Number	Sample Number	_		Set-up	Set-up Collection				į	Take-o	Take-off Collection		
005P	012	Date	N/A	1 1	Time	N/A		Date	N/A		Time	Z	N/A
Monitoring Requested by: Sampling Personnel Initials:		X Protocol RKS XJBV	Retest		Compliance BDD MLM	Toxic P	Toxic Pollutant Scan		Other (specify)	pecify)			
(If initials are not rec SIGNATURE(S):	(if initials are not recorded, Print initials)	S. S		4.2	N								
(Technicians will mark specific duties performed for sampling event)		Finitiate Paperwork, KCollect Grab(s), a Collect Composite, a Field Analysis	t Grab(s), Analysis	O Collect C	ernitiate Papervork, KCollect Grab(s), Collect Composite,   Field Analysis	lect Grab(s). Id Analysis	o Initiate o Collec	e Papenvork, 🗅 st Composite, 🗅	o Initiate Paperwork, o Collect Grab(s), o Collect Composite, o Field Analysis		o Initiate Paperwork, o Collect Grab(s), o Collect Composite, o Field Analysis	rk, o Collect ite, o Field A	Grab(s), nalysis
Type of Sample:	Indus	Industrial Waste	Plant	Plant Influent	X Final Effluent	Effluent	River Water	Water	Other (	Other (Specify)	AFWRF		
Weather G	Weather Conditions	Weather C	r.Conditions ntatiGrab/2F		SAVenth Jack Gurre	SWeather Conditions		1000	Weither Conditions	10,45,33	. Neather Conditions	her Conditions our Sambline	ions. Ine Period
		U Storm (heavy rain) O Rain (steady rain)	/ rain) rain)		<ul><li>Storm (heavy rain)</li><li>Rain (steady rain)</li></ul>	ıvy rain) 1y rain)		\sigma	vy rain) Jy rain)		☐ Storm (heavy rain) ☐ Rain (steady rain)	vy rain) y rain)	
Showers (intermittent rain)     Overcast     Clear/Sunny		Showers (intermittent rain)     Overcast     Clear/Supply	cmittent rain)	<u> </u>		Showers (intermittent rain) Overcast			Showers (intermittent rain) Overcast	<u>(ii</u>	☐ Showers (intermittent rain) ☐ Overcast	ntermittent	rain)
□ Cloudy K Cloudy (panly)		Cloudy (partly)	( <b>/</b>		Cloudy (partly)	ntly)	<i>-</i>		ग्री)		Cloudy  Gloudy  Gloudy	म् पीप्र)	
If applicable, record	If applicable, record rain data obtained from LRW Scada Sy	ım LRW Scada	System below:	<b>-</b> ایو			-						
Rain Total, Inches:	0.0	Rain Total, Inches	hes: O.	0	Rain Total, Inches:	nches: O.	0	Rain Total, Inches:	nches: O.	0	Rain Total, Inches:		0.0
Definitions Cloudy - When 7/8 Partly Cloudy - Be Overcast - Overcas the atmosphere. Ty	Definitions Cloudy - When 7/8ths or more of the sky is covered by clouds. Partly Cloudy - Between 3/8ths and 5/8ths of the sky is covered by clouds. Overcast - Overcast sky conditions occur when clouds cause low visibility conditions. Although fog can cause low visibility on the ground, overcast skies are those higher in the atmosphere. Typically, individual clouds are not seen in an overcast sky.	cy is covered b 8ths of the sky ur when cloud: ouds are not se	y clouds. is covered by clouds. s cause low visibility of the in an overcast sky.	y clouds. risibility co rcast sky.	nditions. Al	though fog c	an cause lo	w visibility	on the grou	Ind, overc	cast skies are	those high	ter in
Comments:													
	:												

Water Reclanation Authority 8:1: 7:011.

Misc Sampling 08-2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DEPARTMENT

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD CODO

	Tag/Seal Verification	•	-			7	\						1216	1349				aled.						ျ
	Designated Laboratory	₹	\$	¥	₹	¥		LRWRA	LRWRA	LRWRA	LRWRA		27	2/(3	$\cdot  $			is not se				]		X B
3 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Parameter(s) Requested (Circle When Parameter Completed)	(Grab 1)	(Grab 2)	(Grab 3)	(Grab 4)	SAC SEC SECONDARY	Dechlonnated with Sodium Thiosulfate		ub 2)			, , , ,	AMCHECKANISM 8/20/2	7	Received By (Signature) Date & Time	Received By (Signature) Date & Time	ignature) Date & Time Received By (Signature) Date & Time	the tag is verified, and placed on the outside of bag. To prevent possible low level Hg contamination, the individual bottle is not scaled.	quois composited.	\alpha \cdot		BIZOW		005P - 012 Classification A
	7	Hg(t)	Hg(t)	Hg(t)	Hg(t)	Total Ph	CN(t)	0&G (Grab 1)	O&G (Grab 2)	0&G (Grab 3)	O&G (Grab 4)			18pm			pathod need	g. To pre	vidual ali	HIF		7 7	Data Reviewed	& No.
	Number	B32-11	B32-12	B32-13	B32-14	B32-15	B32-16	B32-17	B32-18	B32-19	B32-20	Custody Transfer(s)	2:/b pm	١.،	200	e & Time	c & Time	e outside of ba	lata for the indi-	DRM		DAC	Data	Sample I.D. & No.
<b>T</b>	Type: P/G	g	Ü	C	ß	ŋ	α,	9	D	O	ß	ustody	a) 0	8-26-22	i Call	c) Date	c) Date	d on t	ation	<u> </u>	N K			
	Preservative	preserved by contract lab	**Ice, pH <2.00 w/conc. H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	**ice, pH > 12.00 w/10N NaOH	**Ice, pH <2.00 w/conc. H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>1</sub>	**Ice, pH <2.00 w/conc. H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	**Ice, pH <2.00 w/conc. H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	** fce, pH <2.00 w/conc. H2SO,	)	Sample Relinquished By (Signature) Date & Time	All Holly 8.20-23	הייקום אלים הסווניות ליולויין	Sample Relinquished By (Signature) Date & Time	shed By (S	and the tag is verified, and place	ling the WWTP flow and preservation data for the individual aliquots composited	TLEH RWB TIRE	BPR BDD	DA TAPBUSK		(grab dates listed above)			
Kecord	WWTP Flow for CN-, O&G, & Phenol Grabs	11.13	pm //6, 7 6	18,98	15,97	••	:	11.13	17.76	86.87	15.87			2000	5	S	Sz ructions in EF	abeled bag,	sheet regard		11	AMAMA	i  -	(gra
Sample Type	ample Time	08.75.72 @ 9:40 am	08/25/22 @ 204 pm	08/25/22 @ 7:48 pm	08/26/22 @ 6:1 am	NA	NA	08/25/22 @ 9:40 am	087572 @ 204 pm	08/25/22 @ 7:48 pm	08/26/22 @ 6:11 am		Custody Transfer-Lot Identifier(s)	S (C Constituted of Identificate)		Custody Transfer-Lot Identifier(s)	Custody Transfer-Lot Identifier(s) Sample Relinqui	*-1 Each sample bottle is in a labeled bag, and	**See the attached flow bench sheet regarding	EAD Laboratory Personnel's Initials:	nel's Initials RKS	Contract Lab Sample Custodian Name: (Print)	Data Reviewed By. (Signature)	: N/A - N/A
	Somposite	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	ΑN	٧×	4/24HFC	4/24HFC	Ϋ́V	NA	A'A	A'A		ustody Trans		mar (novem	Ustody Trans	Li The O&G av	Comments:		Laboratory	Sampling Personnel's Initials	ract Lab San	Reviewed B	Sample Date(s):
(	Lot Identifier(s	-	2	3	4	S	9	7	∞	6	9						Note	రి		EAD	Sam	٥	Öata	San

Classification A XB C

2.3%